



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/608,816

06/30/2003

Bong Soo Kim

40296-0010

8008

26633

7590

06/01/2004

HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP
1666 K STREET,NW
SUITE 300
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, THANH T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2813

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/608,816

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

Thanh T. Nguyen

Art Unit

2813

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119

(a)-(d).

Oath/Declaration

Oath/Declaration filed on 6/30/03 has been considered.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The term "by" in claim 1, line 7, there is typographical error. It is suggested to delete "by". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation " the resulting structure " in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested to change to "the gate electrode and the source/drain junction region".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gardner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,144,071).

Referring to figures 5-26, Gardner et al. teaches a method for forming a transistor of a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2813

Forming a gate electrode (23, gate conductor) on a semiconductor substrate (20);

Ion-implanting impurities (see col. 8, lines 50-63) into the semiconductor substrate (20) the gate electrode as a mask (see figure 1) to form a source/drain junction region (21, see figure 5);

Forming an oxide film (24) on the gate electrode at a temperature below 700 °C (see col. 9, lines 9-20); and

Forming a nitride film spacer (26, see figure 7) on a sidewall of the gate electrode (23).

Regarding to claim 2, the step of ion-implanting impurities comprises ion-implanting ^{31}P (see col. 8, lines 58-63).

Regarding to claim 3, the step of ion-implanting impurities comprises ion-implanting ^{75}As (see col. 8, lines 58-63).

Regarding to claim 6, forming an oxide film is a CVD or a PVD process (called LPCVD or PECVD, see col. 9, lines 9-20).

Regarding to claim 7, forming an oxide film comprises depositing the oxide film via a CVD or a PVD process (col. 9, lines 9-20) performed at a temperature below 600°C (col. 9, lines 9-20), and performing thermal treatment of the semiconductor substrate at a temperature ranging from 850-1100°C under nitrogen gas atmosphere (see col. 9, lines 34-52). About permits some tolerance. At least about 10% was held to be anticipated by a teaching of a content not to exceed about 8%. In re Ayers, 154 F.2d 182, 69 U.S.P.Q. 109 (C.C.P.A. 1946). In re Erickson, 343 F.2d 778, 145 U.S.P.Q. 207 (C.C.P.A. 1965).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding to claim 8, the thermal treatment is a rapid thermal treatment performed for 1-5 minutes or thermal treatment performed in a furnace for a time period ranging from 1 minutes-6 hours (see col. 9, lines 34-45).

Regarding to claim 9, the thermal treatment is in a furnace for 1 minutes to 6 hours (see col. 9, lines 34-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2-5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,144,071) as applied to claims 1, 6 above in view of Kadosh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,589,849).

Referring to figures 5-26, Gardner et al. teaches a method for forming a transistor of a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of:

Forming a gate electrode (23, gate conductor) on a semiconductor substrate (20);

Ion-implanting impurities (see col. 8, lines 50-63) into the semiconductor substrate (20) the gate electrode as a mask (see figure 1) to form a source/drain junction region (21, see figure 5);

Forming an oxide film (24) on the gate electrode at a temperature below 700 °C (see col. 9, lines 9-20); and

Forming a nitride film spacer (26, see figure 7) on a sidewall of the gate electrode (23).

Regarding to claim 2, the step of ion-implanting impurities comprises ion-implanting ^{31}P (see col. 8, lines 58-63).

Regarding to claim 3, the step of ion-implanting impurities comprises ion-implanting ^{75}As (see col. 8, lines 58-63).

Regarding to claim 5, the ion-implanting process is performed using a single-type equipment without wafer tilt and rotation (see figure 1).

Regarding to claim 6, forming an oxide film is a CVD or a PVD process (called LPCVD or PECVD, see col. 9, lines 9-20).

Regarding to claim 7, forming an oxide film comprises depositing the oxide film via a CVD or a PVD process (col. 9, lines 9-20) performed at a temperature below 600°C (col. 9, lines 9-20), and performing thermal treatment of the semiconductor substrate at a temperature ranging from 850-1100°C under nitrogen gas atmosphere (see col. 9, lines 34-52). About permits some tolerance. At least about 10% was held to be anticipated by a teaching of a content not to exceed about 8%. In re Ayers, 154 F.2d 182, 69 U.S.P.Q. 109 (C.C.P.A. 1946). In re Erickson, 343 F.2d 778, 145 U.S.P.Q. 207 (C.C.P.A. 1965).

Regarding to claim 8, the thermal treatment is a rapid thermal treatment performed for 1-5 minutes or thermal treatment performed in a furnace for a time period ranging from 1 minutes-6 hours (see col. 9, lines 34-45).

Regarding to claim 9, the thermal treatment is in a furnace for 1 minutes to 6 hours (see col. 9, lines 34-45).

However, Gardner et al. does not teach implantation energy range and the doses range, implanting process using a single-type equipment with wafer tilt of 1° and in a bi-rotation or a quadruple-rotation configuration, and the temperature range.

Referring to figures 2-6, Kadosh et al. teaches a method of implanting the gate electrode (18) by using N-type dopant materials such as arsenic or phosphorus with the doses range of 1×10^{12} - 1×10^{13} ions/cm² at an angle approximately 0-60° (called tilt) at the energy range approximately 10-40keV (see col. 6, lines 38-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would implanting the gate electrode by using N-type dopant materials such as arsenic or phosphorus with the doses range of 1×10^{12} - 1×10^{13} ions/cm² at an angle approximately 0-60° at the energy range approximately 10-40keV in process of Gardner et al. as taught by Kadosh et al. because would reduce threshold voltage roll-off characteristic and improve control of short-channel effect, lower the leakage currents.

The temperature range are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As noted in In re Aller, the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious:

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art...such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also *In re Waite* 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); *In re Scherl* 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); *In re Irmischer* 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); *In re Norman* 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); *In re Swenson* 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); *In re Sola* 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); *In re Dreyfus* 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time the invention was made would have used any temperature range suitable to the method in process of Gardner et al. in order to optimize the process.

The specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed temperature (i.e.-thermal treatment of the semiconductor substrate at a temperature ranging from 600-700°C) or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen limitations or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen limitations are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578 (FED. Cir. 1990).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See **MPEP 203.08**).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thanh', with a stylized flourish extending from the end.

Thanh Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN